

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,529	12/22/2000	M. Stephen Galland	2039.006200/RFE	4199	
23720	7590 04/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID		
HOUSTON, 7	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/748,529	GALLAND ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714	$ \langle \langle \rangle \rangle $
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper the free. The approper the free in the final to the final th	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•	,,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 12-35</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			
	(ii	Jones D T	tee

Joseph D. Anthony Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment inserting into independent claim 1 the limitation of "wherein said method
reduces the induction period for oxygen scavenging" rasises new issues that would require further consideration and possibly a new
search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it clearly does not overcome the applied Jerdee e al reference. Furthermore the declaration is dated 06/02/04 (i.e. June 02, 2004) which is incorrect since the date of the present adsvisory action is 04/16/04 (i.e. April 16, 2004). The said declaration if resumitted with a correct date of signiture would overcome only the applied prior-art rejections made over Rodgers et al. and Cai et al.